



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,015	04/07/2000	Seth Haberman	20429/1	9448

28089 7590 10/17/2006

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
399 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10022

EXAMINER

BELIVEAU, SCOTT E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/545,015

Applicant(s)

HABERMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Scott Beliveau

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to applicant's challenge requesting the examiner provide a basis in the record as to the previously presented OFFICIAL NOTICE statement regarding the existence of "user profile data of [an] intended audience [being] obtained from a plurality of user information data sources", the examiner respectfully notes that evidence has already been made of record as set forth and evidenced by the particular explicit usage of prior art references to particularly address the statement in question. For example, circa the Non-Final Rejection, mailed 27 February 2003, the Picco et al. reference was clearly relied upon as an evidentiary showing that the particular limitation was known. Furthermore, applicant's own admission sets forth that the advertisers have come to rely upon the particular usage of multiple sources of information in order to develop user profiles (IA: Page 1, Lines 11-17). Accordingly, the examiner respectfully notes that sufficient evidence has already been made of record to meet the 'substantial evidence' standard in support of the fact noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1 and 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ficco (US Pub No. 2005/0166224 A1).

In consideration of claim 1, Figure 3 illustrates a “system for dynamically constructing a non-interactive personalized advertisement to be viewed by an intended audience”. The system comprises a “message campaign” associated with the coordinated effort to provide users with highly individualized broadcast advertisements (Para. [0005]). The ‘campaign’ includes an “advertisement template” represented by the received generic broadcast advertisement (Para. [0051]). The “advertising template” or generic broadcast advertisement “defines a framework for constructing said personalized advertisement [and the advertising template] comprises a plurality of media segment slots including video segment slots and audio segment slots, wherein at least one video segment slot overlaps at least one audio segment slot” corresponding to the spatial/temporal locations of the audio/video information of the generic broadcast advertisement. The system comprises a “plurality of media segments including video segments and audio segments, each video segment selectable for insertion into at least one of said video segment slots of said for a same one of said video segment slots of said advertisement template, and wherein each audio segment is selectable for insertion into at least one of said audio segment slots of said advertisement template” (Para. [0028], [0036] – [0038], and [0082] – [0085]). As outlined in the process of Figure 5, the system further comprises an “advertisement assembly component” [30/80] that “responsive to user profile data of said intended audience . . . [is configured to apply] . . . [a plurality of] . . . expert rules” associated with targeted advertisement techniques (Para.

Art Unit: 2623

[0042]) “in order to get appropriate media segments from a database” [20] (Para. [0028], [0029], and [0066]) “and incorporate said appropriate media segments into said advertisement template, in order to assemble said personalized advertisement for said intended audience, said assembly performed without interaction by said intended audience” in so far as to the particular “assembly” simply occurs on-the-fly responsive to the particular display/receipt of a generic broadcast advertisement in a seamless manner (Para. [0053] – [0063]).

Claim 3 is rejected wherein the “said message assembly component also uses . . . temporal information in order to select appropriate media segments for assembling said personalized advertisement” (Para. [0047]).

Claim 4 is rejected wherein the “media segments are selected from the group including audio, video, background, animation, synthesized graphics and voice” (Para. [0061] – [0062]).

Claim 5 is rejected wherein “several of said media segments which corresponds to a same one of said media segment slots of said message template are of different lengths, and said message template appropriately adjusts said personalized advertisement based on a length of a selected one of said media segments” (Para. [0045] – [0047] and [0075]).

Claim 6 is rejected wherein the “personalized advertisement is assembled immediately before presentation to said intended audience” (Para. [0027]).

Claim 7 is rejected wherein “said user profile data of said intended audience is obtained from a plurality of user information data sources” (Para. [0039]).

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected wherein “said advertisement campaign includes a target entity profile . . . providing an indication of appropriate media segments for selected user profile data” and “providing an indication for selecting said intended audience from said user information data sources” (Para. [0042], [0043], [0069], and [0082] – [0087]).

Claim 10 is rejected as previously set forth in the rejection of claim 1. In particular, Figure 5 and its corresponding discussion disclose a “method for dynamically constructing a non-interactive personalized advertisement for viewing by an intended audience”. The method involves “obtaining user profile data for said intended audience” [200] and “selecting a message template” associated with the currently received generic broadcast advertisement which “defines a framework for constructing said personalized advertisement and includes a plurality of media segment slots [including video segment slots and audio segment slots wherein at least one video segment slot overlaps at least one audio segment slot which] constitute said personalized advertisement” (Para. [0033] and [0061]). The system subsequently, “applies a plurality of expert rules to said user profile data and said message template, in order to select from a plurality of media segments including video segments and audio segments, appropriate media segments” [210] corresponding to different advertisement segments and/or features “for insertion into said plurality of media segment slots in said message template, wherein several of said video segments are selectable for a same one of said video segment slots of said message template”. The “personalized advertisement” is subsequently “assembled” [250] “using said message template and said selected media segments, without any interaction by said intended audience” and is “provided . . . in a

format for delivery to said intended audience for viewing” such that the user simply tunes to a particular channel in order to seamlessly watch the individualized advertisement.

Claim 11 is rejected wherein “said advertising template and plurality of message segments are created as part of an advertising campaign” to provide highly individualized advertisements in order to increase the impact of the advertisement and increase sales volumes (Para. [0008]).

Claim 12 is rejected wherein “said steps of assembling said personalized advertisement and providing said assembled personalized advertisement is performed immediately before delivery to said intended audience” (Para. [0027]).

Claim 13 is rejected as previously set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 10. In particular, Figure 5 and its corresponding discussion disclose a “method for dynamically constructing a non-interactive personalized advertisement for viewing by an intended audience”. The method involves “obtaining user profile data for said intended audience” [200], “creating a plurality of media segments, including video segments and audio segments” [300] corresponding to distributed advertisement segments, “creating a message template” associated with the generic broadcast advertisement which “defines a framework for constructing said personalized advertisement and includes a plurality of media segment slots [including video segment slots and audio segment slots wherein at least one video segment slot overlaps at least one audio segment slot which] constituting said personalized advertisement” (Para. [0033] and [0061]). The system subsequently, “applies a plurality of expert rules to said user profile data and said message template, in order to select from a plurality of media segments including video segments and audio segments, appropriate media

segments for insertion into said plurality of media segment slots in said message template, wherein several of said video segments are selectable for a same one of said video segment slots of said message template” [210]. For example, several of the particular segments could have selected for display during the display period depending upon the user. The “personalized advertisement” is subsequently “assembled” [250] “using said message template and said selected media segments, without any interaction by said intended audience” and is “provided . . . in a format for delivery to said intended audience for viewing” such that the user simply tunes to a particular channel in order to to seamlessly watch the individualized advertisement.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as follows. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims, the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objections made.

- The Hite et al. (US Pat No. 5,805,974) reference discloses a system and method for time expansion/compression of advertisements.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

Art Unit: 2623

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Beliveau whose telephone number is 571-272-7343. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. - 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Scott Beliveau
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2623

Application/Control Number: 09/545,015

Page 9

Art Unit: 2623

SEB

October 13, 2006